Stereochemistry of Substitution of the α -Dimethylamino Group by Dialkylzinc in Chiral Benzylferrocene

Tatsuki Takahashi, Takashi Konno, Kenichi Ogata, and Shin-ichi Fukuzawa*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Institute of Science and Engineering, Chuo University, 1-1[3-2](#page-3-0)7 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The stereochemistry of the substitution of the α-dimethylamino group by dimethylzinc in the presence of acetyl chloride in the chiral benzylferrocene backbone was examined. The reaction with the benzylferrocene bearing an o-bromo substituent at both ferrocene and the phenyl ring proceeded with inversion of configuration, while the reaction with the benzylferrocene bearing an o-bromo substituent at either ferrocene or the phenyl ring proceeded with retention of configuration.

Thousands of ferrocenyl phosphine ligands, which are use-
ful tools for metal-catalyzed asymmetric reactions, have
heap designed $\frac{1}{2}$. Substitution at the α stars
consumed the integration of chiral been designed.¹ Substitution at the α -stereogenic center of chiral ferrocene is a common way of developing new chiral ferrocenyl-based ligands.² [F](#page-3-0)or example, pyrazole-containing ferrocenylphosphines 1 are prepared by substitution of the dimethylamino (or acetoxy) gro[up](#page-3-0) by pyrazoles (Figure 1). The Josiphos ligand 2,

Figure 1. Chiral ferrocenyl phosphine ligands.

a widely accepted phosphine ligand, is also prepared by dimethylamino/phosphine exchange.³ Substitution at the α position usually proceeds with clear retention of configuration because of the neighboring-group effe[ct](#page-3-0) of the ferrocenyl group.⁴

The Taniaphos ligands 3, developed by Knochel et al., are efficient ligands f[o](#page-3-0)r asymmetric synthesis⁵ and are classified into three categories with different substituents at the α -stereogenic center; substituent X at the α -position is [N](#page-3-0)R₂, MeO, or an alkyl group for the first-generation (1G), second-generation (2G), and third-generation (3G) ligands, respectively (Figure 1). The original methods for the synthesis of Taniaphos 3G include the substitution of the dimethylamino group by dialkylzinc

reagents, where the stereochemical course was reported to be almost complete retention of configuration $(90\% \text{ de})$.^{5b} However, when we carried out a separate preparation of Taniaphos 3G by the original method, i.e., substitution of the α -dimet[hy](#page-4-0)lamino group of (R,R_p) -7 with Me₂Zn in the presence of CH₃COCl, we found that the reaction proceeded with complete inversion of configuration to give the corresponding (S,R_n) -8. We were surprised at this result and re-examined the stereochemistry of substitution at the α -position by Me₂Zn in the series of chiral benzylferrocenes.

We first prepared (R,R_n) -7 according to the literature procedure starting from (o-bromophenyl)ferrocenyl ketone 4 (Scheme 1)^{5b} and confirmed its structure by X-ray analysis (see the Supporting Information). After the treatment of (R_i, R_p) -7 with $Me₂Zn$ $Me₂Zn$ in the presence of $CH₃COCl$ in THF followed by the usua[l workup, the crude pro](#page-3-0)duct was analyzed by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR, which showed the presence of the corresponding α -methylsubstituted ferrocene 8 as a single isomer. The structure was confirmed by X-ray analysis to be configured (S,R_p) (see the Supporting Information). Thus, the substitution proceeded with complete inversion of configuration. This result contrasted [sharply with the original](#page-3-0) report, which states that the reaction proceeds with retention of configuration.^{5b}

Weissensteiner et al. recently reported some intriguing aspects of the stereochemistry of substituti[on](#page-4-0) at the α -position of chiral benzylferrocenes; the stereochemistry of substitution at the α -position depends on the *ortho* substituent at the phenyl/ ferrocenyl groups.⁸ For example, in the substitution of a methoxy group by diphenylphosphine in (R_i, R_p) -9 $(R = Et)$, the reaction pr[o](#page-4-0)ceeded with complete inversion to give (S,R_n) -11, while the

Received: June 12, 2012 Published: July 16, 2012

stereochemical outcome of the substitution in (R) -10 $(R = H)$ was complete retention of configuration to give (R) -12 (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Substitution of α -methoxy group by phosphine

We also found that substitution of the dimethylamino group by NaOMe in (R_i, R_p) -7 proceeded with inversion of configuration (Scheme 3) to give (S,R_p) -13.⁷ The stereochemical course in the amino/methyl exchange at the α -position of the substituted benzylferrocene can be ration[ali](#page-4-0)zed by the substituent pattern.

Scheme 3. Substitution of α -Dimethylamino Group by Methoxide Ion

We then examined the influence of the substituent at the ferrocene and phenyl groups on the stereochemical course of the amino/methyl exchange reaction of benzylferrocene backbones.⁸ Table 1 summarizes the stereochemistry in the amino/methyl exchange of the chiral benzylferrocene unit. The steric structur[e](#page-4-0) of the product was confirmed by X-ray analysis. The exchange at the phenyl bromo-substituted ferrocene (R) -6 (Scheme 1)

Table 1. Summary of Stereochemistry in the Substitution of α -(Dimethylamino)benzylferrocene with Me₂Zn

was readily revealed to proceed with retention of configuration to give (R) -14. Since the amino/methyl exchange product 16 from the Cp-bromo-substituted ferrocene 15^9 was oil, its structure could not be determined by X-ray analysis. Thus, 16 was converted to the corresponding diselenide [17](#page-4-0) by lithiation followed by treatment with selenium powder (Scheme 4).¹⁰ A

Scheme 4. Preparation of Diselenide 17

crystal of the diselenide 17 suitable for X-ray analysis was obtained, and the stereochemistry was revealed to be $(R, S_n; R, S_n)$, suggesting that the reaction occurred with retention of configuration (see the Supporting Information). We separately confirmed that the amino/methyl exchange with unsubstituted benzylferrocene (R) -18 [proceeded with re](#page-3-0)tention of configuration to give (R) -19, although the stereochemical course of this reaction had already been reported.¹¹ The amino/methyl exchange in the corresponding diiodo-substituted ferrocene (R,R_p) -20 proceeded with inversion of confi[gur](#page-4-0)ation contaminating with its diastereomer (dr = $95/5$).

Stereochemistry of α -substitution of chiral ferrocene compounds examined here is consistent with Weissensteiner's work.⁶ Thus, the stereochemistry of substitution of (R,R_n) -7 by Me₂Zn can be rationalized by Weissensteiner's mechanistic propos[al](#page-4-0) (Scheme 5). In the first step, the α -dimethylamino group at the (R) -configured stereogenic center dissociates from the α carbon le[ad](#page-2-0)ing to a carbocation intermediate I in which there is a significant steric hindrance between the two ortho substituents (o-Br at Cp and o-Br at Ph). Intermediate I can isomerize to the less sterically hindered (favorable) intermediate II. If the nucleophilic attack of Me₂Zn would occur from the above of the intermediate II, the stereogenic center of the product would be (S)-configured showing that the stereochemical course should be inversion of configuration. In the reaction with (R) -6, dissociation of the dimethylamino group should give a single carbocation intermediate III. The nucleophilic attack of $Me₂Zn$

would occur from the above of the intermediate, and then the substitution should proceed with retention of configuration to give (R) -14. In the case of (R,\mathcal{S}_n) -15, dissociation of the dimethylamino group would similarly take place to give the less hindered (favorable) intermediate IV which should give the retentive product (R, S_n) -16.

We finally prepared Taniaphos 3G by dilithiation followed by trapping with $ClPPh₂$ (Scheme 6). The stereochemistry was re-

Scheme 6. Preparation of Taniaphos 3G

vealed to be the (S,R_p) configuration, showing that no isomerization took place at the stereogenic center of both central and planar chirality. The optical rotation of the diphosphine 3 was consistent with the reference value, although the stereochemistry of 3 was incorrectly proposed by Knochel et al. as (R, S_n) .¹² The ligand ability of 3 was tested in the rhodium-catalyzed hydrogenation of alkene and revealed to be almost the same [as](#page-4-0) the original ligand; methyl acetamide cinnamate; quantitative, 52% ee, S, dimethyl itaconate; 19% ee, R.⁵

In conclusion, Stereochemistry of substitution of the α dimethylamino group by dimethylzi[nc](#page-3-0) in the presence of acetyl chloride in the series of benzylferrocene bearing an orthosubstitutent at ferrocene and the side-chain phenyl ring was examined. The reaction of the benzylferrocene bearing an o-bromo substituent at both ferrocene and the phenyl ring proceeds with inversion of configuration, while the reaction of the benzylferrocene bearing an o-bromo substituent at either ferrocene or the phenyl ring proceeds with retention of configuration.

General Methods. The ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra were recorded on a 300 MHz spectrometer as solutions in CDCl₃. The chemical shifts are reported in δ units downfield from the internal reference Me4Si. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on a TOF MS instrument with ESI source. All dry solvents were commercial products and used without further purification. The starting chiral ferrocenyl alcohol (R) -5 and ferrocenyl amine (R) -6 were prepared by the reported method.^{5a,b} Chiral ferrocenyl amine (R) -18 and its dimethylamino/methyl exchange product (R)-19 were prepared according to the literature [pro](#page-3-0)[c](#page-4-0)edure.¹¹

Preparation of 1- (R_p) -Bromo-2-[(R)- α -(N,N-dimethylamino)-(o-bromophenylmethyl)]ferrocene $((R,R_p)-7)$ $((R,R_p)-7)$ $((R,R_p)-7)$. The title compound was prepared by a slight modification of the literature procedure.5a,b In a 20 mL Schlenk tube containing a magnetic stirring bar were charged amine (R)-6 (500 mg, 1.26 mmol) and dry diethyl ether (6.0 m[L\)](#page-3-0) [u](#page-4-0)nder a slight pressure of nitrogen. The flask was cooled in a dry ice/methanol bath, and a pentane solution of t-BuLi (1.59 mol/L, 2.76 mL, 4.42 mmol) was then added slowly by using a syringe through the septum with magnetic stirring. The dry ice bath was removed, and the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 h. A diethyl ether (3.0 mL) solution of $C_2Br_2Cl_4$ (910 mg, 2.78 mmol) was injected into the mixture at −78 °C, and the mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 h. After hydrolysis and usual workup, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/diethyl ether/ triethylamine = $20/1/1$) to give the pure (R_i, R_p) -7 (430 mg, 1.03 mmol, 82% yield). Orange solid: mp 84 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ = +121.3 (c = 0.71, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.42 (s, 6H), 4.12 (s, 5H), 4.16 (m, 1 H), 4.35 (m, 1H), 4.45 (m, 1H), 5.03 (s, 1H), 7.02−7.08 (m, 1 H), 7.15−7.26 (m, 2H), 7.57 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz); 13C NMR $(CDCl₃)$ δ 44.4, 65.3, 67.2, 67.5, 70.0, 71.7, 77.3, 90.2, 126.2, 127.1, 128.2, 131.0, 132.4, 141.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{19}Br_2FeN$ 475.9312 ($M^+ + H$), found 475.9327. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from CHCl₃−hexane (CCDC 883437).

Reaction of α -(Dimethylamino)benzylferrocene with Me₂Zn. The following provides a typical experimental procedure for the reaction of α -(dimethylamino)benzylferrocenes with Me₂Zn.¹¹ In a 20 mL Schlenk tube containing a magnetic stirring bar were charged (R,R_n) -7 (503 mg, 1.05 mmol) and dry diethyl ether (6.0 mL) under a slight pressure of nitrogen. Me₂Zn (1 mol/L in hexane, 1.7 mL, 4.2 mmol) was added dropwise to the solution at -78 °C, followed by CH₃COCl (0.12 mL, 1.68 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. After hydrolysis and usual workup, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate = $20/1$) to give (S,R_p) -8 (371 mg, 0.83 mmol): yield 79%; orange solid; mp 129–130 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ = +80.6 (c = 0.10, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.50 (d, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.98 $(s, 5H)$, 3.99 (m, 1H), 4.05 (m, 1H), 4.40 (m, 1H), 4.57 (q, 1H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.12 (t, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.34 (t, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.49 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 7.64 (d, 1 H, $J = 7.8$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 23.8, 37.1, 64.7, 66.0, 69.8, 71.0, 79.2, 91.4, 124.2, 127.4, 127.9, 129.0, 132.90, 144.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{16}Br_2Fe$ 445.8968 (M⁺), found 445.8985. Crystals suitable for the X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂−hexane, CCDC 883461.

(R)-[α -(o-Bromophenyl)ethyl]ferrocene ((R)-14). The title compound was prepared from (R) -6 by a procedure similar to that described above: yield 73%; orange solid; mp 59–60 °C; $[\alpha]^{28}$ _D = +140.372 ($c = 0.13$ in CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.54 $(d, J = 7.1$ Hz), 4.05 (m, 1H), 4.10 (m, 1H), 4.14 (m, 1H), 3.92 (s, 5H), 4.26 (m, 1H), 4.37 (q, 1H, J = 7.1 Hz), 6.9−6.99 (m, 1H), 7.13 (t, 1H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.49 (d, 1H, $J = 8.3$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 20.8, 38.5, 66.6, 66.8, 67.9, 68.1, 68.7, 93.2, 123.4, 127.3, 127.5, 128.5, 132.4, 146.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{17}BrFe$ 367.9863 (M+), found 367.9859. Crystals suitable for the X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from diethyl ether, CCDC 883466.

1- (S_p) -Bromo-2-(1'(R)-phenylethyl)ferrocene ((R, S_p)-16). The title compound was prepared from (R, S_p) −15⁸ by a procedure similar to that described above: yield 78%; orange oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{26}$ = +48.4 (c = 0.13, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.48 (d, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.85 (m, 1H), 3.92 (s, 5H), 3.94 (q, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.00 (m, 1H), 4.41 $(m, 1H)$, 7.26–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.47 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 23.4, 38.9, 65.0, 65.5, 69.6, 70.9, 78.7, 93.1, 126.5, 128.1, 128.1, 145.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{17}BrFe$ 367.9863 (M⁺), found 367.9864. This compound was converted to the corresponding diselenide 17 to determine its stereochemistry (see below).

1- (R_p) -Iodo-2-[1'(S)-(o-iodophenyl)ethyl]ferrocene ((S, R_p)-**21).** The title compound was prepared from (R_p) -1-iodo-2- $[(R)$ - α - N , N -dimethylamino)- o -iodophenylmethyl] ferrocene 20⁷ by procedure similar to that described above: yield 95%; orange solid: mp 88−89 °C; $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D = +80.4 (c = 0.14 in CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 [MH](#page-4-0)z, CDCl₃) δ 1.40 (d, J = 7.14, 2H), 3.92 (s, 5H), 4.08 (m, 1H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 4.32 $(q, J = 7.14, 1H)$, 4.42 (m, 1H), 6.95−7.00 (m, 1H), 7.37−7.49 (m, 2H), 7.94–7.97 (m, 1H); distinct signals 1.43 (d, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.85 (s); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 24.8, 44.4, 64.5, 68.9, 71.5, 74.2, 93.9, 101.6, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 139.7, 148.0, 171.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{16}FeI_2$ 541.8691 (M⁺), found 541.8683. Crystals suitable for the X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂−hexane, CCDC 885599.

1-(Rp)-Diphenylphosphanyl-2-[1′(S)-(o-diphenylphosphanylphenyl)ethyl]ferrocene ((S,R_p) -3) (Taniaphos 3G). The title compound was prepared by lithiation of (S,R_p) -8 followed by trapping with Ph₂PCl according to the literature procedure:^{5a,b} yield 72%; orange solid; mp 89–90 °C; $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D = +352.4 (c = 0.33, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 0.92 (d, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 3[.7](#page-4-0)7 (s, 5H), 3.79 (m, 1 H), 4.22 (m, 1H), 4.33 (m, 1H), 5.02 (m, 1 H), 6.9−6.95 (m, 1H), 7.09 (t, 1H, J = 7.3 Hz), 7.20–7.43 (m, 20H), 7.41–7.65 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 36.3 (dd, J = 25.0, 9.7 Hz), 69.4 (m), 69.5, 69.7, 69.7, 70.8 (d, J = 4.3 Hz), 75.9 (d, J = 12.1 Hz) 99.7 (d, J = 25.4 Hz), 25.2 (d, J = 5.4 Hz) 126.2, 127.6−140.7 (m), 151.1 (d, J = 23.5 Hz); ${}^{31}P$ NMR (CDCl₃) δ -12.9 (d, J = 18.4 Hz), -22.4 (d, J = 18.4 Hz); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{42}H_{36}FeP_2$ 658.1642 (M⁺), found 658.1645. Crystals suitable for the X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from benzene−pentane, CCDC 883462.

Preparation of Bis-1- (S_p) -[1'-(R)-(Phenylethyl)ferrocenyl] Diselenide ($(R, S_p; R, S_p)$ -17). In a 20 mL Schlenk tube containing a magnetic stirring bar were charged (R, S_p) -16 (135 mg, 0.37 mmol) and dry diethyl ether (4.0 mL) under a slight pressure of nitrogen. The tube was cooled in an ice bath, and a hexane solution of n-BuLi

(1.62 mol/L, 0.28 mL, 0.46 mmol) was then added using a syringe through the septum with magnetic stirring. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h, selenium powder (43 mg, 0.55 mmol) was added all at once and the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 3 h. The reaction was quenched with water, and oxygen was bubbled through the solution for 1 h. The solution was filtered through a Celite pad and extracted with ethyl acetate $(20 \text{ mL} \times 3)$. The combined extracts were washed (brine), dried (Mg_2SO_4) , and filtered, and the solvent was evaporated to leave a reddish brown residue. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate = $20/1$) afforded diselenide (R, S_p, R, S_p) -17 (176 mg, 0.24 mmol): yield 65%; red solid; mp 81 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{28} = -263.8$ $(c = 0.14, CHCl₃)$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.54 (d, 6H, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.83 (s, 10H), 4.06−4.09 (m, 4H), 4.22 (m, 2H), 4.34 (m, 2H), 7.26−7.46 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 22.6, 31.6, 68.2, 69.3, 69.7, 73.3, 75.6, 98.3, 126.3, 128.1, 128.2, 145.8. Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₃₄Fe₂Se₂: C, 58.73; H, 4.65. Found: C, 58.46; H, 4.88. Crystals suitable for the X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from benzene−hexane, CCDC 883467.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra (PDF) for ferrocene compounds (3, 7, 8, 16, 17, and 21); crystallographic data for 3, 7, 8, 14, 17, 19 (CCDC 883468), 20 (CCDC 885600), and 21. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: orgsynth@kc.chuo-u.ac.jp.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:orgsynth@kc.chuo-u.ac.jp) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Professor Yoshiaki Nishibayashi and Dr. Yoshiaki Tanabe, Tokyo University, for the X-ray diffraction analysis of the chiral ferrocene compounds. This study was supported financially by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 22550044) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

■ REFERENCES

(1) For reviews, see: (a) Chiral Ferrocenes in Asymmetric Catalysis; Dai, L.-X., Hou, X.-L., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2010. (b) Chen, W.; Blaser, H.-U. In Phosphorus Ligands in Asymmetric Catalysis; Bö rner, A., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008; Vol. 1, p 345. (c) Ferrocenes: Ligands, Materials and Biomolecules; Štěpnička, P., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, 2007. (d) Colacot, T. J. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 3101−3118. (e) Sutcliffe, O. B.; Bryce, M., R. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 2297–2325. (f) Arrayás, R. G.; Adrio, J.; Carretero, J. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7674−7715.

(2) (a) Togni, A. In Metallocenes; Togni, A., Halterman, R. L., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998; Vol. 2, p 685. (b) Burckhardt, U.; Hintermann, L.; Schnyder, A.; Togni, A. Organometallics 1995, 14, 5415.

(3) (a) Marquarding, D.; Klusacek, H.; Gokel, G.; Hoffmann, P.; Ugi, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 5389. (b) Ferrocenes; Togni, A., Hayashi, T., Eds.; VCH: Weinheim, 1995.

(4) Blaser, H.-U.; Pugin, B.; Spindler, F.; Esteban, M.; Togni, A. In Privileged Chiral Ligands and Catalysis; Zhou, Q.-L., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2011; p 93.

(5) The original synthetic scheme includes an error in the stereochemistry of ortho-lithiation of ferrocene, and the compound 7 was incorrectly drawn as (R, S_p) -configured. It is updated to (R, R_p) configured in the corrigendum by the author. (a) Ireland, T.; Grossheimann, G.; Wieser-Jeunesse, C.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int.

Ed. 1999, 38, 3212; 2008, 47, 3666 (corrigendum). (b) Ireland, T.; Tappe, K.; Grossheimann, G.; Knochel, P. Chem.-Eur. J. 2002, 8, 843; 2008, 14, 3509 (corrigendum).

(6) Schuecker, R.; Weissensteiner, W.; Mereiter, K.; Lotz, M.; Spindler, F. Organometallics 2010, 29, 6443 The combination of the central and the planar chirality can affect the stereochemistry of α substitution reaction. The Weissensteiner paper suggests that the stereo course of α -substitution of the S_rR_p (or R_rS_p) isomer should be complete retention, while the corresponding $R_i\hat{R}_p$ (or S_iS_p) isomer favors inversion stereochemistry.

(7) Fukuzawa, S.-i.; Yamamoto, M.; Kikuchi, S. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1514.

(8) We tried to prepare (R, S_p) isomer $(R^1 = H, R^2 = R^3 = Br$ in Table 1) of the dibromoferrocene $\overline{7}$ by dilithiation of (R) -18 followed by trapping with bromine; however, we were not able to prepare it because the method would give (R, R_p) -7. Fukuzawa, S.-i.; Yamamoto, [M](#page-1-0).; Hosaka, M.; Kikuchi. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 5540.

(9) Tappe, K.; Knochel, P. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 91. The (R,R_p) isomer of 3 is prepared and revealed to be an efficient ligand to give the hydrogenation product with high enantioselectivities.

(10) (a) Nishibayashi, Y.; Segawa, K.; Singh, J. D.; Fukuzawa, S.-I.; Ohe, K.; Uemura, S. Organometallics 1996, 15, 370. (b) Fukuzawa, S.-

I.; Takahashi, K.; Kato, H.; Yamazaki, H. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7711. (11) Lotz, M.; Ireland, T.; Perea, J. J. A.; Knochel, P. Tetrahedron:Asymmetry 1999, 10, 1839.

(12) There are two errors in the synthetic scheme of 3 as shown by Knochel et al.; first, in the stereochemistry of *ortho*-lithiation of (R) -6 followed by trapping with bromine, the dibromoferrocene (R,Rp) -7 is incorrectly drawn as (R, Sp) , and second, the stereochemistry of α substitution of the dimethylamino group by Me₂Zn is incorrectly assigned to retention. As the result, they incorrectly assign the stereochemistry of (S, Rp) -8 to (R, Sp) and, thus, incorrectly propose the stereochemistry of 3 as (R, Sp) .